## **REMARKS**

By this amendment, claims 1-52 and 54-56 are pending, in which claims 1, 27, and 54 are currently amended. Claim 53 was previously canceled.

The final Office Action mailed June 20, 2006 rejected claims 1-5, 7-8, 11, 13-15, 27-31, 33, 34, 37, 39-41, and 54-56 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Galipeau et al. (US 6,249,913) in view of Wright et al. (US 6,047,165) and in further view of Booth et al. (US 5,835,127), claims 6 and 32 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Galipeau et al. in view of Wright et al. in view of Booth et al. and in further view of Humpleman (US 5,579,308), claims 9 and 35 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Galipeau et al. in view of Wright et al. in view of Booth et al. and in further view of Schwab (US 6,353,699), claims 10 and 36 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Galipeau et al. in view of Wright et al. in view of Booth et al. and in further view of Ahmad (US 5,565,908), claims 12 and 38 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Galipeau et al. in view of Wright et al. in view of Booth et al. and in further view of Rosin et al. (US 6,028,600), claims 16 and 42 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Galipeau et al. in view of Wright et al. in view of Booth et al. and in further view of McCarten et al. (US 5,959,596), claims 17 and 43 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Galipeau et al. in view of Wright et al. in view of Booth et al. in view of McCarten et al. and in further view of Ahmad (US 5,565,908), claims 18-21 and 44-47 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Galipeau et al. in view of Wright et al. in view of Booth et al. and in further view of Volpe et al. (US App. Pub. 2001/0032028), claims 22-24 and 48-50 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Galipeau et al. in view of Wright et al. in view of Booth et al. and in further view of Neel et al. (US 5,838,314), and claims 25, 26, 51, and 52 as obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) based on Galipeau et al. in view of Wright et al. in view of Booth et al. in view of Neel et al. and further in view of Dedrick (US 5,724,521).

Serial No. 09/978,452

The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences opined that the Examiner erred in

rejecting claims 55 and 56. The Honorable Board noted that the claimed feature of "selecting,

pre-flight, one of the options for purchase of a corresponding one of the plurality of multimedia

via a web server coupled to the multimedia server" is not found in the applied art.

Accordingly, in the interest of expediting prosecution, Applicant has amended

independent claims 1, 27, and 54 to recite "the multimedia being selected, pre-flight, for

purchase via a web server coupled to the multimedia server." Applicant respectfully submits

that this feature is not taught by the applied art.

Therefore, the present application, as amended, overcomes the rejections of record and is

Favorable consideration is respectfully requested. in condition for allowance.

unresolved issues remain, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner telephone the

undersigned attorney at 310-964-0560 so that such issues may be resolved as expeditiously as

possible.

To the extent necessary, a petition for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 is

hereby made. Please charge any shortage in fees due in connection with the filing of this paper,

including extension of time fees, to Deposit Account 50-0383 and please credit any excess fees

to such deposit account.

Respectfully Submitted,

Registration No. 41,320

Date: October 10, 2008

The DIRECTV Group, Inc.

CA/LA1/A109

2230 E. Imperial Highway

El Segundo CA 90245

Telephone No. 310-964-0560

14